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Abstract—The continuing scaling of VLSI technology and design complexity has rendered robustness of analog circuits a significant concern. Parasitic effects may introduce unexpected marginal instability within multiple noise-sensitive loops and hence jeopardize circuit operation and processing precision. The Loop Finder algorithm has been recently proposed to allow detection of noise-sensitive return loops for circuits that are described using a linear time-invariant (LTI) system model. However, many practical circuits such as switched-capacitor filters and mixers present time-varying behaviors which are intrinsically coupled with noise propagation and introduce new noise generation mechanisms. For the first time, we take an in-depth look into the marginal instability of linear periodically time-varying (LPTV) analog circuits and further develop an algorithm for efficient identification of noise-sensitive loops, unifying the solution to noise sensitivity analysis for both LTI and LPTV circuits.

I. INTRODUCTION

With technology scaling and growing design complexity, the designers have witnessed increased on-chip and off-chip parasitic effects in analog circuits. Parasitics can create a large number of unintended, insufficiently damped feedback signal loops, in which noise can propagate and undermine the performance of analog circuits. These problematic loops are called unstable in [1]–[3]. To make the matter clearer, we more precisely use the term “marginally unstable” or “marginal instability” to indicate that existence of these loops does not necessarily cause the circuit to lose its absolute stability, rather, it may increase the circuit sensitivity to noise. A noise sensitivity checking algorithm called Loop Finder has been proposed and developed efficiently [1]–[3], which automatically picks up the noise-sensitive loops in an analog circuit modeled by a linear time-invariant (LTI) system model.

However, many practical circuits, such as switched-capacitor filters and mixers, have periodic time-varying behaviors. For the first time, we take an in-depth look into the marginal instability of time-varying analog circuits using a rigorous linear periodically time-varying (LPTV) system model. We characterize the marginal instability of LPTV circuits based on LPTV impedance transfer functions extracted for all circuit nodes. We define the new concepts of noise-sensitive pole groups and noise-sensitive loops for LPTV circuits. Finally, we propose an algorithm for efficient identification of multiple noise-sensitive loops, which incorporates a graph building algorithm and a maximum loop finding algorithm. Capable of analyzing more general LPTV systems, the proposed algorithm unifies the solution to loop-based noise sensitivity analysis for both LTI and LPTV circuits.

II. NOISE-SENSITIVE LOOP IDENTIFICATION FOR LINEAR TIME-INVARIANT CIRCUITS

We first briefly introduce the noise-sensitive loop identification approach for LTI circuits [1]–[3]. The small-signal transfer function $H(s)$ of an LTI circuit is described by:

$$H(s) = L^T (G + sC)^{-1}B,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $G$, $C$, $B$ and $L$ are the conductance, capacitance, input and output matrix, respectively. For each circuit node, $B$ and $L$ in $H(s)$ can be properly chosen to compute the scalar node impedance transfer function $Z(s)$ as:

$$Z(s) = \frac{\text{res}(s)}{(s - p_1)(s - p_2)...(s - p_n)},$$

which can be further factorized:

$$Z(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_R} \frac{\text{res}_i(s)}{s - p_{i,r}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_C} \frac{\text{res}_j(s)}{s^2 + 2\omega_j s + \omega_j^2},$$

where $n = n_R + 2 \cdot n_C$ is the order of the system. Each second-order system $h_j$ in $Z(s)$ has a complex pole pair $p_{j,r} \pm ip_{j,i}$ with natural frequency $\omega_{j} = |p_{j}|$ and damping factor $\zeta_j = -\frac{p_{j,i}}{|p_{j}|}$. If $\zeta_j < 0.7$, we say that $h_j$ is potentially noise sensitive since the impedance peaks at $\omega_{j}$. For each $h_j$, we also consider potentially noise-sensitive poles defined as follows.

**Definition 1:** (Noise-sensitive poles) If a potentially noise-sensitive second-order system $h_j$ contributes the most to the node impedance at its natural frequency $\omega_{j}$ among all second-order systems of the same impedance, each of its complex poles $p_j$ is called a noise-sensitive pole of the circuit.

Intuitively, a pole is noise sensitive if and only if it contributes dominantly to at least one node impedance at its natural frequency. Noise-sensitive loops are found in the following way.

**Definition 2:** (Noise-sensitive loops) Circuit nodes sharing the same noise-sensitive pole form a noise-sensitive loop.
III. NOISE-SENSITIVE LOOP IDENTIFICATION ALGORITHM FOR LINEAR PERIODICALLY TIME-VARYING CIRCUITS

A. LPTV transfer functions

We use an LPTV transfer function $H(s,t)$ and its Fourier expansion as the small-signal model for an LPTV circuit [5], [6]:

$$H(s,t) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} H_i(s)e^{ji\omega_0 t},$$

$$H_i(s) = L_i^T[(G_{FD} + \Omega C_{FD}) + sC_{FD}]^{-1}B_{FD},$$

where $\omega_0 = 1/T_0$ is the fundamental frequency, $H_i(s)$ is the $i$-th harmonic transfer function with the form of (1), and the definition of each component in (3) can be found in [6].

B. Nodal harmonic impedances for LPTV circuits

Denote the impedance transfer function at a node by $Z(s,t)$ with $Z_i(s)$ being the $i$-th nodal harmonic impedance. As in the LTI case, $Z_i(s)$ can be written as:

$$Z_i(s) = \frac{\text{residue}(s)}{(s-p_1)(s-p_2)(s-p_3)}...$$

The poles of the circuit can be computed by solving a generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$(G_{FD} + \Omega C_{FD})X = \lambda C_{FD}X.$$  

The eigenvalues $\lambda$’s are the poles and $X$ contains the corresponding eigenvectors. Note that LPTV circuits ideally have an infinite number of poles. For practical purposes, we truncate $G_{FD}$ and $C_{FD}$ to retain a certain number of low-order harmonics, capturing a finite number of poles in the LPTV model. Just like in the LTI case, each $Z_i(s)$ now can be decomposed into a set of first and second order systems:

$$Z_i(s) = \sum_{q=1}^{N_h} \frac{k_q}{s-p_q} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \frac{r_{eq_j}(s)}{s^2 + 2\zeta_j\omega_0 s + \omega_0^2}$$

$$Z(s,t) = \sum_{i=-M}^{M} Z_i(s)e^{ji\omega_0 t},$$

with $2M + 1$ harmonic components included.

C. Loop-based noise-sensitivity analysis for LPTV circuits

Similar to the case of LTI, we first examine each (decomposed) second-order system $h_j$ for every nodal harmonic impedance transfer function of an LPTV circuit. We say $h_j$ is potentially noise sensitive if its damping factor $\zeta_j < 0.7$.

Definition 3: (Noise-sensitive poles in LPTV circuits) If a potentially noise-sensitive second-order system $h_j$ contributes the most to the corresponding harmonic impedance of a circuit node at its corresponding natural frequency $\omega_0$ among all second-order systems of the same harmonic impedance, its complex poles $p_j$ are called noise sensitive for the circuit.

Time variance inherent in LPTV systems introduces frequency translation effects. Due to the $i$-th nodal harmonic impedance $Z_i(s)$ in (4), current noise with frequency $\omega$ injected into the circuit node induces a harmonic voltage response at a shifted frequency of $\omega + i\omega_0$. Such harmonics complicate the loop-based noise analysis of LPTV circuits. We illustrate the complications created by frequency translated effects through a simple example shown in Fig. 1.

Assume that zero-th order (DC) harmonic impedance $Z_0(s)$ of each node in Fig. 1 has a common noise-sensitive pole with a natural frequency of $\omega$. This implies that current noise with frequency $\omega$ would produce a large output voltage response at same frequency at each node. Thus, these nodes can be grouped to form a “noise-sensitive loop”(black and red paths) along which there exists no frequency translation effect. Now further assume that $\omega$ is the natural frequency of a noise-sensitive pole of $Z_1(s)$ of node $a$. Due to the frequency translation effect, noise has another way to go: the noise at frequency $\omega$ is injected to node $a$, producing a response at frequency $\omega + \omega_0$, which serves as the input to node $b$; this frequency-shifted input produces a response with a frequency back to $\omega$, coming out of node $b$. This noise creation and propagation mechanism is shown by the blue path in Fig. 1. As a result, we have identified two different noise-sensitive loops through the same set of circuit nodes.

Definition 4: (Noise-sensitive pole groups in LPTV circuits) A noise-sensitive pole group is a set of noise-sensitive poles in which the difference between the natural frequencies of any two poles is an integer multiple of $\omega_0$.

We extend the approach of noise-sensitive loop identification for LTI circuits to analyze LPTV circuits. For each noise-sensitive pole group, we find maximum (i.e. with the maximum number of circuit nodes) noise-sensitive loops that have two properties. First, these loops consist of circuit nodes whose noise-sensitive poles fall into the pole group. Second, when injected into some node along each such loop, current noise with a frequency same as the natural frequency of one pole in the group could propagate along the loop while creating large voltage response along the way, perhaps involving frequency translation effects.

D. LPTV noise-sensitive loop identification algorithm

The proposed noise-sensitive loop identification algorithm is run for each noise-sensitive pole group as follows:

1) Compute all nodal harmonic impedances.
2) Extract all poles and determine the potentially unstable noise-sensitive poles.
3) Determine the noise-sensitive pole groups for the circuit.
4) Build a graph for each noise-sensitive pole group; Perform maximum loop detection algorithm on each graph.
5) Map the maximum loops in the graph back to the noise-sensitive loops in the circuit and report such loops.

IV. GRAPH BUILDING AND MAXIMUM LOOP DETECTION

We now describe the 4-th step of the above algorithm. Unlike in LTI circuits [1]–[3], frequency translation effects shall be considered for an LPTV circuit while grouping relevant circuit nodes to form noise-sensitive loops. To this end, we map a circuit to a graph \( G(V, E) \) for each noise-sensitive pole group, and the mapping process ensures that the maximum loops in \( G \) can be mapped back to the circuit.

First we build a small graph for each node at each noise-sensitive pole frequency in a circuit as shown in Algorithm 1. Each node in the \( G \) has three properties: type (input/output), name, frequency. We then connect each pair of input and output nodes only if they have an identical frequency.

Algorithm 1 Small graph building

```plaintext
procedure BUILD_SMALL_GRAPH(node, pole)
    Add vi(input, node.name, ω_pole) to V
    for each harmonic impedance (output freq. ω_bar) do
        if pole is a dominant pole for it
            Add vo(output, node.name, ω_bar) to V
            Add edge < vi, vo > to E
        end if
    end for
    If no vo added, delete vi
end procedure
```

Finally, we apply a modified version of the algorithm in [8] to find maximum loops in the constructed graph \( G(V, E) \). The main idea of the algorithm is to build \( k \)-cycles from \((k - 1)\) simple paths iteratively. All the cycles in our graph have even length, which helps to shrink the search space. A list stores cycles of the maximum length detected in each iteration. The cycles left in the end are the maximum loops and are mapped back to the loops in the circuit.

A. Time complexity of the proposed method

Pole and impedance computation, which are the first two phases in our proposed algorithm, have \( O((2m + 1)N^3) \) and \( O((2m + 1)N^2 \times N \times \text{num\_poles} \times \text{har\_num}) \) costs, respectively, where \( N \) is the number of circuit nodes, and \( m \) is the number of harmonics included at each side of the DC component of node impedance. The QZ method is used for pole computation [1]. Typically, it is sufficient to set \( \text{har\_num} \) to 5. More efficient model order reduction based techniques [2], [3] can be used to further speed up these two steps.

In the third phase, noise-sensitive loop identification, mapping the circuit to a graph has a low complexity. The more dominant maximum loop detection algorithm, which runs on the graph, has a worst-case complexity that is exponential in the number of circuit nodes, and can be sped up by parallel processing.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A C++ implementation of our algorithm has been realized on the Linux OS. We use a double-balanced mixer and a switch-capacitor (SC) gain stage designed using a commercial 90nm technology with 1.2V power supply as test cases. The search for noise-sensitive poles is limited within a broad range of \([1, 10G]\)rad/s. We compute five harmonics including the DC component for each nodal impedance.

A. A switched-capacitor gain stage

Fig. 2 shows a switched-capacitor (SC) gain stage whose core is a two-stage opamp. The clock frequency is 1MHz. The phase margin of the opamp is 62 degrees with a load capacitor of 1pF. Our algorithm finds two noise-sensitive loops based upon two noise-sensitive pole groups in Table I. While there is no frequency translation immediately present in the identified loops, it must be noted that such analysis is only possible under the presented LPTV framework in the first place. The first noise-sensitive loop is highlighted by the blue lines in Fig. 2, containing two nodes connected to a few MOS switches. These nodes have dramatic impedance changes due to the varying magnitude of the clock signal, which defines the large periodically time-varying operating point for the circuit.

![Fig. 2. A switched-capacitor gain stage and its noise-sensitive loops](image)

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Im</th>
<th>Natural Freq.</th>
<th>ζ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p1</td>
<td>-1.147e9</td>
<td>1.524e7</td>
<td>3.035MHz</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2</td>
<td>-1.792e9</td>
<td>1.860e9</td>
<td>408.848MHz</td>
<td>0.6985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second noise-sensitive loop is highlighted by the red lines. This loop is physically time varying because a switch is in parallel with \(C5\). Since there is no frequency translation, we can treat this loop as if it were an “LTI” loop. The phase margin of the second-order LTI feedback model of an opamp is related to its damping factor \(ζ\) [2]. A damping factor \(ζ\) of 0.7 corresponds to a phase margin of 65 degrees. A \(ζ\) of 0.69 leads to a phase margin very close to 65 degrees but less than it. We could increment the value of \(C1\) to 600pF to eliminate the second noise-sensitive loop.
B. A double-balance mixer with parasitic effects

The Gilbert cell double-balanced mixer in Fig. 3 has 500 MHz sinusoidal local-oscillator signals $V_{LO}^+$ and $V_{LO}^-$ with a peak-to-peak value of 600 mV. Our algorithm finds one noise-sensitive pole group with two poles as shown in Table II. $p_1$ exists mainly because of the series RLC path, which models the bond wires connecting to the package. $p_2$ is generated by the serially connected resistor $R_7$ and capacitor $C_5$, representing coupling parasitics between two nodes.

![Fig. 3. A double-balanced mixer and its noise-sensitive loops.](image)

Due to many possible combinations of frequency translation effects, 97 noise-sensitive loops are identified based on the same set of eight physical nodes. The most representative loop is highlighted in Fig. 3 and is divided into two parts. The red lines are noise-sensitive at natural frequency $\omega_{p1}$, while the blue lines are noise-sensitive at natural frequency $\omega_{p2}$. At node $c$, there is a frequency translation from $\omega_{p1}$ to $\omega_{p2}$, and the signal frequency goes back to $\omega_{p1}$ at node $d$.

![Fig. 4. Noisy time-domain responses: (a) node b, and (b) node d.](image)

D. Algorithm runtimes

Table III reports the runtimes for checking noise-sensitive loops of the two circuits, for which the most time-consuming component of the algorithm is the impedance computation.

![TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit name</th>
<th>node num.</th>
<th>sys. size</th>
<th>phase1 (s)</th>
<th>phase2 (s)</th>
<th>phase3 (s)</th>
<th>total (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double-bal. mixer</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>7.497</td>
<td>141.191</td>
<td>953.23</td>
<td>148.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch-cap. gain stage</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>1.456</td>
<td>16.86</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>18.126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

We present an efficient noise-sensitive loop identification algorithm that is able to find noise-sensitive loops in LPTV circuits while capturing the essential frequency translation effects. Our algorithm has been demonstrated using a mixer and a switched-cap gain stage both of which possess inherent time-varying behaviors.
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